

234

CWP-24027-2022
Decided on : 13.10.2023

Rohit Kumar		... Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and others		... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

PRESENT: Mr. Nitin Kadian, Advocate for
Mr. Yashveer Kharab, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ , J. (ORAL)

1. The present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No. 3 to decide representation dated 17.08.2022.
2. Ms. Vasundhra Asija, Advocate has appeared on behalf of Mr. Pravindra Singh Chauhan, Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 and 4 and on instructions, has submitted that the representation filed by the petitioner has been decided and the grievance of the petitioner already stands redressed.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner affirms the aforesaid fact.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.10.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No