

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50301-2021

Date of Decision:- 14.03.2023

DR. SACHIN JINDAL

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Aman Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner – Dr. Sachin Jindal has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No. 0349 dated 22.10.2021, under Sections 120-B, 323, 328, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, Ambala (Haryana).

The facts of the case are that the complainant - Kanak Nandini Jain filed written complaint against Shashi Pal Jindal and others including her husband Dr. Sachin Jindal i.e. present petitioner, alleging that she got married with Sachin Jindal on 12.12.2016. Out of this wedlock, she has a daughter, born on 05.02.2021. The marriage was solemnized with great pomp and show and dowry articles including jewellery, clothes etc were given which are mentioned in the list Annexures A and B. The behaviour of her in-laws was cruel towards the complainant from the very beginning. They were not satisfied with the dowry articles and the jewellery given at the time of marriage. Her husband used to beat her on petty issues. All her dowry articles were kept by her in-laws. Her jewellery was also kept in a locker in SBI, Hisar. Her husband was not having a good character. He

was having relations with other ladies. She tried to stop her husband but he gave severe beating to her. Her husband and in-laws family started raising demand of Rs. 30 lacs from her father to establish a clinic at Hisar, which she refused. Her husband did not stop having illicit relations with other ladies. Her husband and in-laws family wanted to kill the complainant and her daughter. The complaint was filed before the police on the basis of which present FIR has been registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the matter has been compromised with the complainant. Photocopy of compromise deed attested by Notary Public dated 24.02.2023 is produced on the record.

Learned counsel for the complainant confirmed the aforesaid compromise.

Learned counsel representing the State has not opposed the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner, in view of the said compromise. However, status report is filed.

I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. The arrest of the petitioner was stayed vide order dated 07.12.2021. The matter was referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, where the compromise could not materialized. However, subsequently, the complainant and the present petitioner effected compromise dated 24.02.2023. As per this compromise, both the parties will file divorce petition with mutual consent. Considering the aforesaid facts, the present petitioner is not required for any other purpose. He is still ready to join the investigation for the purpose of aforesaid FIR. Therefore, the anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner – Dr. Sachin Jindal is allowed. He be not arrested. In case of his arrest, he be granted bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arrest Officer, subject to the conditions as detailed

under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is accordingly, accepted.

14.03.2023

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No