

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-44039-2023

Date of decision: 23.11.2023

Ritu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Narender Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.57 dated 14.07.2023 registered under Sections 302 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Chamkaur Sahib, District Rupnagar.

2. On 21.09.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner being sister-in-law of the deceased, has falsely been implicated in the present case; that marriage of the petitioner was solemnized way back in 2002 and she is now residing happily in her matrimonial home alongwith her husband as well as children; that no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner, and that even there was no complaint by the deceased against the petitioner at an earlier occasion. It is further submitted that marriage of the brother of the petitioner and the deceased was solemnized in 2015 and out of the said wedlock, three children were born. It is further submitted that a blatant attempt has been made to rope in the family

members of the petitioner in the present case.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 21.09.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 21.09.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.11.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No