

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.44286 of 2023
Date of decision: 4th September, 2023

Rajesh Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sudesh Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents, with a further prayer to direct the official respondents to look into the representation dated 03.07.2023 (Annexure P-1) made by the petitioner and to take legal action against the private respondents.

2. Learned counsel submits that vide representation (Annexure P-1), respondent No.2 –Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar had been duly intimated about the fraud committed upon the petitioner at the hands of private respondents. However, the authorities concerned had failed to initiate any action against the offenders. Learned counsel contends that despite respondent No.2 having been apprised about the commission of cognizable offence by the accused persons, the official respondents had failed to proceed against them under the provisions of

law. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on her representation.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar is directed to look into her grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 03.07.2023 (Annexure P-1) then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.* 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 4, 2023

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No