

**SUSHMA MAHAJAN AND OTHERS
VS.
NIRDOSH KUMAR AND OTHERS**

Present:- None for the appellants.

Ms. Ambika Luthra, Addl.A.G., Haryana for respondents
No.2 and 3.

Mr. Navnit Sharma, Advocate
For Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Perused. This IOIN has been put up on account of the fact that
service upon respondent No.1 could not be effected for want of correct address.

Respondent No.1 was driver of the offending vehicle, which was
duly insured by the insurance company-respondent No.4 herein. In the
premise, service upon respondent No.1 is exempted, at this stage. Service is
thus complete.

IOIN stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

January 16, 2023
ashish