

215-A **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
 AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45946-2022
Date of decision: 24.01.2023

Inderpreet Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankit Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
136	14.07.2022	Model Town, Ludhiana	420 and 120-B IPC

1. The petitioner apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above, on the allegations of cheating the complainant to the tune of Rs.18 lakhs, had come up before this Court under Section 438 CrPC seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Vide order dated 03.11.2022, the petitioner was granted interim protection, which is continuing till date.

3. The petitioner's counsel argued that the custodial investigation would serve no purpose whatsoever, and the pre-trial incarceration would cause an irreversible injustice to the petitioner and family. He further submits that conditions of interim bail order have been complied with. A copy thereof has also been supplied to counsel for the complainant.

4. The State's counsel opposes the bail.

REASONING:

5. Thus, there would be no justification to keep this bail pending waiting for the proper investigation. The petitioner was granted interim protection, and during the interregnum, there is no allegation that he had intimidated the victim or victim's family or the witnesses or that he had hampered the investigation, or despite being called to join the investigation, he did not appear before the investigator. Given the above, there

would be no justification to discontinue the interim protection, and the order dated 03.11.2022 is made absolute subject to the petitioner complying with the terms of the bail order and the following additional conditions.

6. Within fifteen days from today, the petitioners shall forward to the Investigator/SHO and the complainant/victim(s) the complete details of bank account numbers with addresses, fixed deposits, DEMAT account numbers, the current market value of jewelry, sovereign metals, all precious articles, held either individually or jointly, and cash-in-hand. ***If the petitioner fails to comply with this condition, then on this ground alone. In that case, the bail might be canceled, and the complainant may file any such application for the cancellation of bail, and State shall file the said application.***

7. In return for the protection from incarceration, the Court believes that the accused shall also reciprocate through desirable behavior. ***It is clarified that in case the petitioner does not mend his ways and repeats the offence or indulge in criminal behaviour, then in all future matters, the concerned courts shall keep it as a factor that this court had afforded a final opportunity to the petitioner to reform and live a normal life but did not mend his ways.***

8. The conditions mentioned above imposed by this court are to endeavour that the accused does not repeat the offence and to ensure the safety of the witnesses, victim, and their families. In Sushila Aggarwal, (2020) 5 SCC 1, Para 92, the Constitutional Bench held that unusually, subject to the evidence produced, the Courts can impose restrictive conditions. In Sumit Mehta v. State of N.C.T. of Delhi, (2013)15 SCC 570, Para 11, Supreme Court holds that while exercising power Under Section 438 of the Code, the Court is duty-bound to strike a balance between the individual's right to personal freedom and the right of investigation of the police. While exercising utmost restraint, the Court can impose conditions countenancing its object as permissible under the law to ensure an uninterrupted and unhampered investigation. In Mohammed Zubair v. State of NCT of Delhi, Writ Petition (Criminal) No 279 of 2022, Para 29, decided on July 20, 2022, A Three-Judge bench of Hon'ble Supreme Court holds that "The bail conditions imposed by the Court must not only have a nexus to the purpose that they seek to serve but must also be proportional to the purpose of imposing them. The courts while imposing bail conditions must balance the liberty of the accused and the necessity of a fair trial. While doing so, conditions that would result in the deprivation of rights and liberties must be eschewed."

Petition allowed in aforesaid terms. Interim order dated 03.11.2022 is made absolute subject to strict compliance of all terms and conditions. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

24.01.2023
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.