

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(109)

CM-12407-CWP-2023
in/and CWP-22898-2022
Decided on : 03.08.2023

Shreya Bublani

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Mayank Garg, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner (s).

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

CM-12407-CWP-2023 in/and CWP-22898-2022

Application has been filed for declaring the result of the petitioner of the main written examination and consequently she be allowed to participate in the *viva voce*.

2. With the consent of the parties, the main case is preponed from 16.08.2023 to today itself.

3. In pursuance of the last order Mr. Vijayant Sehgal, Registrar (Recruitment) of this Court has produced the result of the petitioner, since she had been permitted to sit in the preliminary examination for the recruitment of the Civil Judge (Jr.Div.)-cum-Judicial Magistrate in pursuance of the advertisement dated 07.09.2022 issued in view of the notification dated 20.07.2022.

4. She being applicant against the reserved category of Sports Person had approached this Court challenging the definition of the Sports Person. Vide interim order dated 30.09.2022, she was permitted to sit in the preliminary examination and the respondent No.5-Commission had been directed to accept a hard copy of her application and permit her to sit in the preliminary examination, subject to the final order and her result was to be kept in sealed cover.

5. Thereafter, she filed another application. Vide order dated 21.04.2023, she was permitted to sit in the main examination of PCS Judicial. The order was conditional that participation in the examination would not confer any right whatsoever upon her and her result be also kept in a sealed cover and not announced prior to obtaining of a specific order from this Court. Resultantly, we had called for the record on 11.07.2023.

6. We have examined the record produced in sealed cover today. The advertisement (Annexure P-3) provides that no candidate is to be called for interview unless he/she obtains 50% marks in aggregate of all the written papers. Thus, the total marks are 950 and the petitioner has not qualified, as per the result produced before us. Since the interview is yet to take place, we do not mention the marks obtained by the petitioner.

7. Resultantly, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. All pending civil miscellaneous application (s) if any also stand disposed of. The result of the petitioner is returned back to Mr. Sehgal.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

03.08.2023
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No