

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19444-2023

Date of Decision:20.09.2023

PARSHOTAM LAL @ PARSHOTAM RAM @ SONU

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent- Union of India.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. AG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 04.09.2023, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to renew/re-issue his passport.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that an FIR No. 172 dated 02.07.2009, under Sections 324, 148, 149 of IPC was registered against the petitioner at Police Station, Banga, District SBS Nagar. The petitioner was declared proclaimed person vide order dated 27.08.2012. The petitioner has entered into compromise dated 05.12.2022 with the complainant and on the basis of compromise, he has filed CRM-M13140 of 2023 before this Court seeking quashing of order declaring petitioner proclaimed person. This Court vide order dated

20.04.2023 has set aside the order declaring the petitioner proclaimed person. The petitioner is to surrender before the trial court on 04.11.2023, however, in the absence of passport, he cannot travel to India and surrender before the authorities. He may be issued passport for a short period i.e. 3-6 months, so that he may come to India and join proceedings. He is not avoiding proceedings rather intent to face.

Learned counsel for Union of India seeks time to get instructions.

Adjourned to 15.09.2023.”

2. Learned counsel for the respondent- Union of India, at the outset, submits that Passport Officer has issued passport with the validity of 6 months, thus, present petition has rendered infructuous.
3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file an appropriate application, if contention of learned counsel for the respondent- Union of India is found incorrect.
4. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.09.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No