

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(107)

CWP No. 19416 of 2023

Date of Decision : 02.09.2023

Randhir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Nancy Vashistha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that though, he was senior to certain employees in the Cadre of Mechanic but was not promoted to the post of Head Mechanic when the said employees were promoted in the year 2018 and it is only in the year 2021 that the petitioner was promoted as Head Mechanic.

2. Learned counsel counsel for the petitioner submits that employees juniors to the petitioner have been further promoted to the post of Service Station Incharge but the said promotion was not given to the petitioner and the petitioner retired as a Head Mechanic on attaining the age of superannuation in the year 2022.

3. Learned counsel further submits that once, the petitioner was senior to the employees as per seniority list Annexure P-1 in the Cadre of Mechnic, he was entitled for promotions with effect from the date, person juniors to him were promoted as Head Mechanic and thereafter, as Service Station Incharge, hence, the respondents are under obligation to grant the said benefit to the petitioner as being claimed in the present petition.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 22.02.2022 (Annexure P-4) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

5. Notice of motion.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana., who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 22.02.2022 (Annexure P-4) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to him within a further period of four weeks.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

8. Ordered accordingly.

September 02, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No