

are to be in possession of the documents in their personal capacity. Under the interim order passed by the Court below, the petitioners have already joined the investigation. However, the petitioners again undertake to join the investigation as and when so required by the police. There is no other case against the petitioners. Therefore, the petitioners deserve to be protected against their arrest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate, for the complainant and Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, Advocate, for the victim have put in appearance.

5. Learned counsel for the State, being assisted by Mr. Deepak Vashishth, counsel for the complainant and Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, counsel for the victim and on instructions from ASI Maha Nand, has submitted that the complainant has been defrauded of an amount of Rs.1,28,71,786/-. The material was duly supplied to the company, however, no money has been paid for the same. The petitioners were the signatory to the receipt of the material in the office of the company. Therefore, they are also involved in the crime, as such. However, it is not disputed that the petitioners were the employees of the said company. It is also not disputed that there is no other case against the petitioners.

6. In view of the above, but without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of by granting concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Therefore, it is directed that in case of

their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

04.09.2023
adhikari

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No