

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Regular Second Appeal No. 3264 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.07.2023

Ram Gopal

Hari Krishan and Others

Versus

... Appellant(s)

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. C.L.Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent No.1, 2 and 4.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1.

As per the office report, notice issued to the sole appellant has been received back with the report that the address in the memorandum of appeal is incomplete.
2.

The appellant has filed the present appeal through a learned counsel who is now a member of the Bench of this Court. After noticing this fact, a notice was issued to the appellant, however, on account of the aforesaid report, it does not appear to be practical to issue a fresh notice to the appellant.
3.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present appeal is dismissed as non-prosecution with the liberty to the appellant to file an application for revival thereof.
4.

The miscellaneous application(s) pending, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

July 07, 2023
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No