

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(112)

CWP-25329-2022

Date of decision: - 24.01.2023

Dalip Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana,
for respondents No.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of the writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the order dated 15.04.2022 (Annexure P-3) passed by Superintending Canal Officer, Bhakhra Water Services Circle, Sirsa, under Section 20(1) of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 29 of 1974 in case titled as “Brij Lal Vs. Dalip Singh and another”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since in the application filed by the petitioner under Section 20(1) of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 29 of 1974, the only prayer made was for closing of outlets made without sanction and the said relief has been granted by both the authorities, whereas, with respect to the second prayer, the petitioner wishes to move a separate application and, thus, he be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh application before the authorities, in accordance with law.

In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

January 24, 2023
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No