

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**CRM-M-46026-2022 (O & M)****Date of decision: 11.01.2023**

Vijay Kumar

.... Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate, for
Ms. Jainika Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.348 dated 02.10.2021 under Sections 382, 392, 379-B, 120-B and Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station City Kharar, SAS Nagar.

2. The brief facts of the case are that while police party was on patrolling duty, secret information was received that Shiv Chopra, Vijay Kumar (petitioner), Mustkeem Ali (since granted bail vide order dated 16.12.2022 passed in CRM-M-6882-2022) and Salman Khan had formed a gang and were habitual of looting at gun-point. They were in possession of illegal weapons and would commit snatching in the areas closed to Kharar and on that day, they were likely to commit an offence for which they had got together on the backside of Jamuna Apartments. They were on separate motorcycles bearing No.PB-65-AC-3369 Make Hero colour Black and PB-65AP-7911 make Pulsar Black colour alongwith a Scooter bearing No. PB-27E-1100 Scooter White colour. If a raid was conducted, they could be

Based on the information, the FIR came to be registered against the accused persons. During the course of investigation, the petitioner came to be arrested 02.10.2021.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. No offence has been committed as alleged in the FIR. He is in custody since 02.10.2021 and none of the 16 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. There are two other cases registered against the petitioner i.e. FIR No.307 dated 20.08.2021 under Section 379-B IPC registered at Police Station City Kharar, (wherein he has been granted bail vide order, Annexure P-3) and in FIR No.237 dated 28.09.2021 under Sections 392, 448, 506, 34 IPC and Sections 25-54-59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Kharar, District SAS Nagar (Punjab) in which case also he has been granted the concession of bail. In addition, it is contended that his co-accused, namely, Mustkeem Ali, has been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 16.12.2022. He, thus, contends that the petitioner ought to be granted the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends that the allegations against the petitioner and his co-accused are serious. He is a habitual offender. In the present case, two gold chains and Rs.18,000/- have been recovered from the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner did not deserve the concession of bail looking at the serious nature of the allegations against him.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 02.10.2021 and

other two FIRs registered against the petitioner, he has been granted the concession of bail. The veracity of the allegations against the petitioner shall be adjudicated upon during the course of Trial. At this stage, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required, moreso, when his co-accused Mustkeem Ali has been granted the concession of bail vide order dated 16.12.2022.

7. Thus, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner, namely, Vijay Kumar is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. The petitioner shall appear on the first Monday of every month before the local police station till the conclusion of the trial and furnish an affidavit each time that he is not involved in any crime other than the cases referred to hereinabove.

9. In addition, the petitioner (or someone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.2,00,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from Trial without sufficient cause.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

January 11, 2023
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No