

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(270)

CWP-23688-2022

Date of Decision : December 06, 2023

Santosh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Shweta Nahata, Senior Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.4-UOI.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 has been filed in the Court today and the same is taken on record.
2. Present writ petition has been filed for quashing of the impugned order dated 08.09.2022 (Annexure P-6) with the further prayer that the benefit for which the petitioners are entitled for, qua the gratuity be also released to them.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the impugned order has already been withdrawn and the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the impugned order has been withdrawn but the benefit of gratuity has not been extended to the petitioners so far and the direction be issued to the

respondents to release the same in a time bound manner keeping in view the fact the impugned order has been withdrawn.

5. Let the consequential benefits be released to the petitioners within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order. State as well as Union of India are directed to perform their part so that actual benefits for which the petitioners are entitled be released to them failing which, serious view will be taken in case the petitioners are not given the benefit within time frame as mentioned hereinbefore.

6. The present writ petition is disposed of in above terms.

December 06, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No