

KULDEEP KAUR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Ms. Bhagyashree Setia, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for
Mr. Akshat Dalal, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of her and family members as she is apprehending danger at the instance of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was an employee in a gas agency owned by respondent No.4 who had created fictitious customer accounts to receive undue benefit.

Reply by way of affidavit of Iqbal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Patti, District Tarn Taran has been filed and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that at the instance of respondent No.4, an FIR bearing No.146 dated 19.09.2019, under Sections 408/420/120-B IPC has been registered at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran against the petitioner and others as she had embezzled huge amount of Vikram Bharat Gas Agency wherein she was working as an employee. It has been further submitted

that the petitioner has not submitted any representation in the office of Senior

Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran. In the event, there is an apprehension of danger to the life and liberty to the petitioner and a representation is submitted, the same will be looked into in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submits that in the aforesaid FIR, the petitioner has been released on anticipatory bail and the matter is still at the stage of investigation.

The petitioner has been arraigned as accused in the case bearing FIR No.146 dated 19.09.2019, under Sections 408/420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sarhali, District Tarn Taran and as per the version of respondent Nos.1 to 3, no such representation apprehending danger to her life and liberty has been submitted. Moreover, a categoric submission has been made by the learned State counsel that in the event of any such apprehension, a representation, if any, submitted by the petitioner, the same will be looked into in accordance with law.

In such circumstances, no further action is called for in the matter and the present petition is disposed of.

14.03.2023
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No