

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

2023:PHHC:114642

CRWP-8585-2023

Date of decision: September 1st, 2023

Simarn and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8, who are stated to be family members of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1, aged 25 years and petitioner No.2, aged 26 years, got married on 01.01.2023, against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 8. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, for protection of their life and liberty but in vain. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran, to look into their representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Inderpal Singh Sabharwal, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran, to look into the representation dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

September 1st, 2023

Puneet

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No