

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 4247 of 2022 (O&M)**

Date of decision: 20.1.2023.

Haryana State Warehousing Corporation Panchkula

Petitioner

Versus

Ram Avtar Gupta

Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

CM No. 16379-CII of 2022

Notice to the non-applicant.

Ms. Madhu Dayal. Advocate accepts the notice. She has no objection to the acceptance of the prayer of the applicant.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The date of hearing in the main petition is preponed from 1.2.2023 to today itself.

With the consent of both the parties, the main petition is taken on Board.

Main petition

This revision petition is filed aggrieved of order dated 8.9.2022 passed by Civil Judge (Junior Division), Karnal in execution proceedings

filed by the respondent for executing award of the arbitrator dated 27.6.2016.

Learned counsel for the parties are not disputing the fact that jurisdiction of execution would lie to the District Judge.

In view of the above, the impugned order is set aside and it is directed that execution petition shall be treated as returned to the respondent.

Needless to say that the respondent would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

The petition is disposed of.

**CM-16382-CII of 2022**

Since the main petition has been disposed of, prayer in the application is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]  
JUDGE**

**20<sup>th</sup> January, 2023.**  
mk

- |                               |   |          |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes / No |