

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-8590-2023
Date of decision: 01.09.2023**

Simran Rani and another	Versus	Petitioners.
State of Haryana and others		Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J.

The present petition has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both petitioners are major and are living happily together. Both the petitioners have also appended their Aadhar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2) with regard to the age proof.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that both the petitioners belong to different caste and religion and the family members of petitioner No. 1 are not happy with their relationship and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their lives at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners have also moved a representation dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar. But no

action has been taken till date.

CRWP-8590-2023

-2-

5. Notice of motion.
6. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent No. 1 to 3.
7. Without commenting on the *inter se* relationship of the parties, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, to consider the representation dated 28.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and if so warranted, take action, to ensure protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.
8. This order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their relationship in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioners would be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

01.09.2023
preeti

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |