

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(234)

CWP-9883-2018

Date of decision: - 22.03.2023

Gurmeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. R.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned Recovery Notice No.601, dated 20.03.2018 (Annexure P-6) issued by Tehsildar, Payal/respondent No.4 and for quashing the impugned Letter No.2156 dated 12.02.2018 (Annexure P-7) issued by Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Doraha/respondent No.5.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, proceedings under Section 216 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 was initiated and the final order of assessment was passed by respondent No.5 vide order dated 02.05.2013 and against the same, an appeal was by the petitioner which has been annexed as Annexure R-1

and the said appeal is still pending.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to take all the pleas, which have been raised in the present writ petition in the said appeal.

In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that the appellate Court where the said appeal is pending be directed to decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

The appellate Court before whom the appeal is pending is directed to decide the same as expeditiously as possible.

March 22, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No