

232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24298-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 10.04.2023

Narinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present: Mr. Ramesh Kumar Dhiman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokesh Chander Aggarwal, Addl. Standing counsel with
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Jr. Standing counsel
for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2-U.T.

Mr. Sukant Gupta, Sr. Standing counsel
for respondent No.3.

RITU BAHRI, J.

Counsel for respondent No.3 has put in appearance. He states that the representation Annexure P-4 had been made to the Land Acquisition Collector, Chandigarh for refunding the TDS which was deducted from the compensation, which does not reflect whether this representation was received in the office of respondent No.3.

The case relates to the refund of TDS and respondent No.3 is the competent authority to pass the final order on this issue. This writ petition is being disposed of by giving direction to respondent No.3 to treat this writ petition as a representation and pass a speaking order on the refund

of TDS amount within a period of four months.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

10.04.2023
Satyawar

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable: Yes/No