

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19340-2023

Date of decision : 02.09.2023

Narender Singh Government Contractor

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Arvindpal Singh Grover, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed by the petitioner being aggrieved by the technical rejection of the bid, submitted by him pursuant to the tender notice dated 01.05.2023 issued by the respondents for strengthening of Gohana Lakhan Majra road from RD 0 to 2150m and RD 5100 to 16000m in Baroda Constituency in Sonapat District.

2. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner has complied with the technical requirements, including specifications regarding the affidavit, and the clarification regarding the date was also submitted by him after obtaining attestation from the Notary himself, which has been accepted by the authorities, but in spite of that, the authorities have rejected his bid and proceeded ahead without looking into clause 23.7 of the tender document.

3. It is, however, evident that the petitioner, while having submitted the documents before the authorities, has not filed any representation or objection before the authorities and, therefore, the petition is disposed of giving an opportunity to the petitioner to do so within a period of two days. Learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, submits that in case, the petitioner does so, such representation/objection would be considered and decided by the authorities, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably one week before finalizing the tender proceedings.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

September 02, 2023
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No