

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8623-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.09.2023

AMANDEEP SINGH @ AMNA

...Petitioner

Vs.

THE STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Dr. Bandana Trikha Sachdev, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been preferred by the petitioner seeking directions to the respondents to allow the emergency marital parole to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the marriage of the nephew of the petitioner is to be solemnized on 20.09.2023 wherein the petitioner has to perform all the ceremonies as father of the bridegroom, since his father had already expired, and, for this purpose, the petitioner has moved the representation dated 24.08.2023 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.3-the Superintendent, Central Jail, Kapurthala, but, till date no action thereon has been taken. He further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied if the representation (Annexure P-1) is considered and disposed of in an expeditious and time bound manner, in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

5. After hearing respective submissions, perusing the record and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits of the petition, the petition is hereby disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3-the Superintendent, Central Jail, Kapurthala, to consider and decide the representation dated 24.08.2023 (Annexure P-1) in an expeditious and time bound manner, preferably within a period of 07 days from today, in accordance with law. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner as well.

6. It is however, made clear that any observation made above shall not affect the merits of the case nor the same will influence the concerned authority in deciding the representation in accordance with law.

(SANJIV BERRY)
(JUDGE)

02.09.2023

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No