

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-3207-2019 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 03.02.2023**

**Gurnam Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Kavita Singh and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 18.01.2016, passed by this Court in CWP-21225-2013, vide which a direction was issued to the respondents-authorities to consider the claim of the petitioner for allotment of plots with a further direction that in case the authorities decide to accept the claim, the allotment shall be made in accordance with 2012 rate as already directed on 31.08.2015 in ***CWP-20232-2013***, titled as ***Rajinder Pal Goyal & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Ors.***, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order.

A perusal of the judgment rendered in ***Rajinder Pal Goyal's*** case (supra) shows that it was observed that the direction was to pay the rate of allotment as it prevailed in the year 2012, when this Court passed the order dated 17.10.2012 in the first round of litigation for determination of their eligibility in terms of the subject policy.

It is neither mentioned in the order dated 18.01.2016 that the petitioner has come in the second round of litigation nor it is so stated in the

present contempt petition that in the earlier round of litigation, some directions were issued with which ***Rajinder Pal Goyal's*** case (supra) was decided that the petitioner is to be allotted the plot at the rate prevalent in the year 2012 and, therefore, there is a clear distinction between the two judgments.

Admittedly, as per affidavit of the Estate Officer, GMADA, which is on record, a plot has been allotted to the petitioner at the prevailing price.

In view of the same, no case of any willful disobedience is made out against the respondents.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

Rule stands discharged.

03.02.2023  
*Waseem Ansari*

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*