

2023:PHHC:161999

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

251 **COCp-2654-2023**
Date of decision: 18.12.2023

VIKRAM ...Petitioner

Versus

SAKET KUMAR, IAS, DIRECTOR HVPNL, AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Section 2-B read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 17.07.2023 passed in CWP-14920-2023.
2. Counsel for the State has produced copy of the order dated 15.12.2023 to show that pursuant to the order dated 17.07.2023, respondent No.2 has now decided the legal notice and accordingly, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Counsel for the petitioner has not even disputed the aforesaid fact.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
5. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law, if he feels aggrieved of the order passed by the respondent.

18.12.2023 **(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)**
JUDGE

parveen kumar

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No