

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(230)

CR No. 6017 of 2019
Date of Decision : 17.03.2023

Ram Phal

...Petitioner

Versus

Commissioner, Nagar Nigam, Rohtak and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sandeep, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was
passed :-

*“As per office report, the respondents have been served.
On request, adjourned to 30.04.2020.
Interim order to continue.”*

Since, the interim order of status quo is continuing for the last
three and half years, learned counsel for the parties agreed that the trial
court be directed to conclude the proceedings in a time bound manner and
till then, the status quo order granted by this court be allowed to continue.

Keeping in view the above, the present civil revision petition is
disposed of on the joint request of learned counsel for the parties concerned
that the status quo be maintained qua the property in question and the trial

court is directed to decide the main suit within a period of six months from the next date of hearing before the trial court.

Disposed of in above stated terms.

March 17, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No