

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**
(128)

CWP-19166-2023
Date of decision: - 05.09.2023

Guru Teg Bahadur ITI Bathinda and another
....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others
.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction including a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation dated 05.05.2023 given by petitioner No.1 and representation dated 27.08.2023 given by petitioner No.2 (Annexures P-4 and P-5), respectively, for disbursing the grant of scholarship of Post Metric Scheme for the year 2015-16 and 2016-17.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, they have given the representations dated 05.05.2023 and dated 27.08.2023 (Annexures P-4 and P-5) and the petitioners would be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider the said representations, in a time bound manner and in case the

pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, the money due to the petitioners be released as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has submitted that respondent No.2 would consider the said representations dated 05.05.2023 and 27.08.2023 (Annexures P-4 and P-5), in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representations dated 05.05.2023 and 27.08.2023 (Annexures P-4 and P-5), within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case, respondent No.2 is of the opinion that there is merit in the pleas raised by the petitioners, then, take appropriate action, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and in case, respondent No.2 is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim of the petitioners be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.2 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

September 05, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No