

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

263

CWP-22408-2022 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 29.08.2023.

PARVEEN KUMAR

.. Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate and
Mr. Udit Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to not reduce the security provided to the petitioner.

A short reply by way of affidavit of Sarabjit Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Security, Punjab, Chandigarh, has been filed wherein it has been averred in paragraphs No.6 and 7 that at present 03 PSOs are deployed with the petitioner and the said security cover is deemed appropriate and there is no necessity of providing any further security.

Learned Senior counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the present security arrangement is on temporary basis and is subject to the periodical review as per guidelines laid down in the Security Policy. He

contends that the disposal of the present petition at this stage due to

satisfaction with the present arrangement is likely to lead to withdrawal of the security cover already granted. He submits that the respondents may be directed that in the event of reviewing the security cover of the petitioner, he may be granted an opportunity to present his case.

Learned State counsel has no objection to the same.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of as withdrawn in view of the averments contained in the reply filed by the respondents.

Needless to mention that in the event of the aforesaid security arrangement being reviewed, the petitioner shall be afforded an opportunity of hearing by the respondents before passing any fresh orders.

August 29, 2023
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No