

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(261)

CWP-22467-2022

Date of decision: - 07.12.2023

Jagdish Singh

....Petitioner

Versus**Regional Transport Authority Faridkot**

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondent No.2 to release permit for the route of Muktsar to Amritsar via Ferozepur, Zira.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 20.07.2022 (Annexure P-2) and at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case the respondent considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate relief to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has submitted that the respondent would consider the said representation dated

20.07.2022 (Annexure P-2), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the representation dated 20.07.2022 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and in case the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the appropriate relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case, the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the respondent would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

December 07, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No