

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

116

CRWP No.8582 of 2023
Date of Decision: 31.08.2023

Komal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Kanwar Pahul Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to protect her and her minor daughter's lives and liberty, while averring that she apprehends threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 who happen to be her husband, parents-in-law and the brother-in-law respectively and she has further prayed that the official respondents be directed not to harass her or interfere in her as well as her daughter's lives and also to take action against the private respondents.

2. Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3a in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance.

3. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts

his prayer to the issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to look into and decide the representation (Annexure P-2), as already moved by the petitioner to him and also to respondents No.3 and 3a.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

5. Resultantly, without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the petition in hand, the same is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, to look into the afore-referred representation (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner to the extent of the prayer, as made by her therein, qua the protection of her and her daughter's lives and liberty only and in case the said prayer is found to be genuine, then to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within a period of 02 weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

6. However, it is further clarified here that this order shall not be construed to be a shield to the petitioner against any action/proceedings already initiated or contemplated to be initiated against her by any competent Authority/person on the basis of/in connection with the facts narrated in the present petition.

31.08.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*