

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25385-2019

Date of decision : 11.05.2023

Partap Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Ishnoor Singh, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the inaction of the official respondents for not removing the Sarpanch-respondent No.3.

2. On 14.10.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned State counsel submits that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous as term of the Gram Panchayat has come to an end.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner disputes

the same.

Adjourned to 11.05.2023 for arguments.

Sd/-(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

October 14, 2022”

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has reiterated the fact that the present matter has been rendered infructuous.

4. Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in order to rebut the abovesaid aspect.

5. Accordingly, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

6. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to him.

11.05.2023

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No