

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

233

CWP-868-2018

Date of decision: 16.02.2023

Tej Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**

Present: Mr. Surinder Gaur, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)**

Instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the final result/selection list dated 08.11.2017 Annexure P-8 for the post of Agriculture Inspector qua the category of the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was an applicant for the post of Agriculture Inspector pursuant to the advertisement No. 06/2016 dated 02.12.2016 Annexure P-1 in the category of ESM-BCA for which one post has been advertised. Petitioner cleared the written examination and the result was declared on 08.11.2017 Annexure P-8 but, the petitioner was unsuccessful. He found that his roll number has been shown against a wrong category. He submitted a representation dated 14.12.2017 Annexure P-10 with the respondents before approaching this Court.

Respondent No. 2 has filed a short reply wherein it has been submitted as under:-

*“4. That it is worthwhile to mention here that after the declaration of the final result for the post of Agriculture*

*Inspector, it has come to the notice of the Commission that 20 roll numbers of ESM-BCA category were inadvertently categorized in the category of ESM-BCB category at the time of scrutiny of documents. Due to inadvertent categorization from ESM-BCA to ESM-BCB, those 20 candidates were not shortlisted for scrutiny of documents in the category of ESM-BCA category and only one candidate of ESM-BCA self was called for scrutiny of documents and he remained absent. Therefore, in order to correct this advertent error, the Commission decided to shortlist six candidates out of 20 candidates on merit on basis of written examination for scrutiny of documents cum interview from top of the merit of written examination. The Commission has called the six candidates for scrutiny of documents-cum-interview on 22.12.2017. The last shortlisted candidates called for scrutiny/interview secured 140 marks in the written exam whereas the petitioner has secured 138 marks. Therefore, he was not shortlisted for scrutiny cum interview. Thereafter, the Commission has declared the final result of ESM-BCA category on the basis of marks secured in the written examination and viva-voce and roll number 6163608246 has been selected in the main selected list and roll number 6163609171 and 6163626170 have been selected in the waiting list of BCB category for the post of Agriculture Inspector against Advt. No. 6/2016, Category No. 36.”*

Keeping in view the fact that the category of the petitioner has been corrected and he has scored less than the last candidate selected, no relief can be granted to the petitioner.

Petition is dismissed.

**( SUVIR SEHGAL )**  
**JUDGE**

**16.02.2023**  
*Harish Kumar*

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |