

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 208)

CRM-M No. 44891 of 2022

Date of decision : 10.04.2023

Jagjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Anay Singh Kotwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 108 dated 14.05.2022 registered under Sections 407, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

On 28.09.2022, this Court had passed the following order : -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case the petitioner has been falsely implicated on the basis of disclosure statement made by the co-accused. He further submitted that the allegations with regard to embezzlement of 590 bags of food grains which were taken from Punjab to Jammu & Kashmir but the same were not delivered there. He also submitted that the petitioner is neither the contractor of FCI nor the owner of the truck nor he was the driver of the truck and has been unnecessarily roped in the present FIR.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State and prays for some time to seek instructions in the present case. Adjourned to 03.04.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as have been recorded in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, subject to the petitioner abiding by the conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., the order of this Court dated 28.09.2022 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

10.04.2023

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No