

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

2023:PHHC:132092-DB

CWP-19063-2023

Date of Decision: 11.10.2023

Kanchan Devi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Pradeep Chhoker, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 to 4-HSVP.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, in the present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeks setting aside of the draw of lots held on 21.09.2020 at Estate Office, Rewari pertaining to the allotment of plots to oustees in pursuance to the advertisement dated 04.09.2018 (Annexure P-7).

2. Reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.2 to 4 would go on to show that the case of the petitioner was a part of 234 applications and 164 applications were found ineligible and only 70 number of applications were found eligible at the preliminary stage. In the written statement wherein, it has been mentioned that the claim of the petitioner was rejected as she applied in sector wherein oustees quota as per reservation percentage has already been exhausted and the applicant has applied against category/sector of plot wherein plots were not floated in oustees advertisement. The recommendations made in favour of the eligible persons has been annexed as Annexure R-2. It is the case of the respondents that 36 number of

applications were found eligible for allotment through draw of lots which

have been forwarded to the higher authorities for verification to avoid double/multiple allotment in view of the directions passed by this Court.

3. Keeping in view the above, we are of the considered opinion that since the petitioner's case has been rejected for reasons given in the written statement, it would be appropriate if the petitioner is supplied a copy of the grounds of rejection within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order so that in case she wishes to challenge the same, it is open to her to do so.

4. Writ petition stands disposed of with the abovesaid observations.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

11.10.2023
shivani

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No