

229

2023:PHHC:149466

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-8533-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.11.2023

Raj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 30.03.2018 (Annexure P-12) whereby the petitioner has been ordered to be retired on 05.04.2018 by not permitting him to serve beyond the age of 55 years.

2. Learned State Counsel has pointed out that in the present case, vide order dated 05.04.2018, an interim order was passed that services of the petitioner shall not be dispensed with till the adjourned date of hearing and the said interim order was ordered to continue on 23.04.2018 and thus, the present writ petition has now been rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to dispute the abovesaid aspect and submits that the present Civil Writ Petition may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

4. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

23.11.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No