

CRWP-8524-2023

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(127)

CRWP-8524-2023

Date of decision:- 31.08.2023

Shiva and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Taqdeerjeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. K.S.Saini, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.5, 7 to 9 and has filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

2. Shiv son of Sundar (petitioner No.1) claims to be 19 years and Tamanna @ Saloni daughter of Bunt Ram (petitioner No.2) claims to be 16 years of age. They have pleaded that they are in live-in relationship against the wishes of respondents No. 4 to 13. They apprehend danger from them and seek protection to their life and liberty.

3. The petitioners have submitted a representation Annexure P-3 to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Karnal, District Karnal. Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon a judgment of this Court in *CWP No.31834 of 2019 titled as “Megha and another vs. State of Haryana and others”* decided on 04.11.2019 and submitted that this Court had entertained petition for protection of couples in live-in relationship.

CRWP-8524-2023

-2-

4. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-3 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

31.08.2023

kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No