

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

CWP-19628-2023

Date of Decision : 14.09.2023

Amit Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr.Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondents to consider him for compassionate appointment.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that father of the petitioner, who was working with respondent, passed away on 05.02.2013 in harness. The petitioner lodged his claim for compassionate appointment in 2016 which was rejected on account of non-availability of the vacancy. The petitioner again applied in 2017. The respondent vide communication dated 06.06.2018 informed the petitioner that his name would be considered by respective Zonal Chief Engineer. The respondent, till date, has not considered the case of the petitioner.
3. Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that the competent authority would look into the matter and pass an appropriate order within 03 months from today.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondent,
the petition stands disposed of.

14.09.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>