

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

109

CWP-19139-2023

Date of Decision : 31.08.2023

Gurvinder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Chahit Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to release his passport.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the police officials posted at Police Station Sadar Sirsa have seized passport of the petitioner. The passport was seized by police officials in 2018 and despite repeated requests, till date, has not been released. Relying upon judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Suresh Nanda vs. CBI, (2008) 3 SCC 674**, he submits that the police has no authority to seize passport and action of respondents amounts to misuse of power and abuse of process of law.

3. Learned State counsel, who on advance notice is present in Court, assures the Court that the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa would

look into the representation of the petitioner and take appropriate step/action in accordance with law within 10 days from today.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

31.08.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>