

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-44868-2022**

**Date of Decision:-08.12.2023**

**Mahip Trehan.**

.....Petitioner.

Versus

**State of Punjab & Anr.**

.....Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate for the respondent no.2.

\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)**

The prayer in the petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for quashing of the FIR No.208 dated 10.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) under Section 420 IPC registered at Police Station Kotwali District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

The Counsel for the petitioner contends that at the stage of grant of anticipatory bail the allegedly defalcated amount of Rs.2 lacs had been paid to the complainant/respondent no.2 with the undertaking that the FIR in question was to be quashed. However, the complainant did not come forward for getting the FIR in question quashed leading to the filing of the present petition. He, therefore, prays that once the amount itself has been paid to the complainant and the complainant had already given an undertaking to the effect that he is ready and willing to get the FIR in

question quashed, the present FIR and all subsequent proceedings are liable to be quashed.

The Counsel for the respondent no.2 admits the fact of having received an amount of Rs.2 lacs and that he has no objection if the instant FIR is quashed. He however states that the quashing of the instant FIR shall not be construed as a settlement of other disputes pending or arising at a later stage between the parties.

I have heard the counsel for the parties.

In view of the fact that at the stage of the grant of anticipatory bail the entire amount of Rs.2 lacs stood deposited with the complainant and the complainant had given an undertaking to the effect that he had no objection if the FIR along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are liable to be quashed, at this stage no useful purpose would be served by allowing the present proceedings emanating out of the FIR to continue.

In view of the above, the FIR No.208 dated 10.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) under Section 420 IPC registered at Police Station Kotwali District Kapurthala along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom stands quashed.

However, it is made clear that this petition is only with respect to the quashing of the impugned FIR and order passed in this case shall have no bearing on the other disputes between the parties, if any.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE

December 08, 2023  
Vinay

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |