

248

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8361-2018

Date of decision : 12.01.2023

Sachin

...Petitioner

Versus

Board of School Education, Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to make necessary correction in the entries qua the name of mother of the petitioner made in the Certificate of Secondary School Examination (Annexure P-1) and Certificate of Senior Secondary School Examination (Annexure P-2), on the basis of the Army Card, Aadhaar Card and Voter Card (Annexures P-3, P-4 and P-5 respectively).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that with respect to the grievance of the petitioner, the petitioner has given a legal notice/representation dated 02.01.2018 (Annexure P-7) to respondent Nos.1 and 2 but no order has been passed with respect to the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the legal notice/representation dated 02.01.2018 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law within a time frame. He has further submitted that the petitioner would also submit a supplementary representation to respondent No.2 after annexing all the relevant documents in order to substantiate his claim and prays that the said legal notice along with the said representation be decided as expeditiously as possible.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and the prayer made by the petitioner, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice dated 02.01.2018 (Annexure P-7) along with the supplementary representation, within a period of four weeks from the date the said subsequent representation is filed.

It is clarified that this Court has not considered the merits of the claim of the petitioner and thus, respondent No.2 would independently consider the same, in accordance with law.

12.01.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**