

122

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18894-2023

Date of Decision:28.08.2023

KRISHNAKANT KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to re-consider case of the petitioner for expunction of adverse remarks in ACR of the assessment year 2005-06.

2. Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate who on advance notice is present in Court submits that representation of the petitioner at the first instance was rejected vide order dated 21.05.2008. The petitioner made fresh representation which came to be rejected vide communication dated 05.11.2008. Third representation of the petitioner was rejected on 09.08.2018. Forth representation of the petitioner was rejected on 31.10.2019. Fifth representation of the petitioner was rejected on 23.12.2022 and impugned order was passed on 10.08.2023. The petitioner is assailing impugned orders on the basis of order dated

04.08.2023 passed by this Court in CWP No.16154 of 2023. Subsequent adjudication of a matter cannot give cause to already closed matters.

3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.
4. Dismissed as not pressed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

28.08.2023

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>