

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225

CRM-M-48907-2021
Date of Decision: 02.02.2023

Naresh Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Bansal, D.A.G, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner seeks regular bail firstly on the ground that no specific role was attributed to the petitioner and secondly, the shot was fired by Anand and not by him. His further ground is prolonged custody from 27.11.2017.

State counsel opposes the bail on the grounds that two witnesses namely Pawan and Sube Singh have been murdered and third witness was attacked and this shows the gravity of offence and criminal bad mind of the petitioner and his accomplices.

Given threat to the witnesses, the petitioner is not entitled to bail and the present petition is dismissed. The trial Court to make efforts to complete the trial and pronounce the judgment on or before 30.04.2023. it is clarified that the petitioner shall not seek any adjournment before the trial Court, in case, he does so, this order stands recalled under Section 362 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C without any further reference to this Court. It is further clarified that in case, the trial is not completed by 30.04.2023, in that case, petitioner is permitted to file a fresh petition for bail before this Court and on this ground alone, this Court might consider to grant bail to the petitioner.

All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

02.02.2023
Jyoti-II

JYOTI
2023.02.06 09:35
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment.

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No