

120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18840-2023
Date of decision: 28.08.2023

Sahib SinghPetitioner
V/s
Punjab State Warehousing Corporation and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondent No.1/the Punishing Authority to stop the recovery per month from the salary of the petitioner till the pendency of the statutory appeal dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner against the order of punishment dated 20.07.2023 (Annexure P-2) issued under endorsement No.PSWC/EST/E-1/EF-785/15697-15719/23 dated 28.07.2023 passed by respondent No.1, whereby recovery of Rs.3,75,590.50 has been imposed upon the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset prays for deciding the appeal filed by the petitioner dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously by passing a speaking order within a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karaminder Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents by filing his *memo of appearance* and submits that the appeal filed by the petitioner shall be decided expeditiously.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

The Appellate Authority-respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the appeal dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Since the statutory appeal is still pending and yet to be decided, it will be in the interest of justice that no further recovery be effected from the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

28.08.2023
Sapna

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No