

210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42625-2023

Date of Decision:01.09.2023

Renu Bala @ Renu Kumari

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Vansh Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Dass Rai, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant regular bail to her in case FIR No. 289 dated 31.05.2023 registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Krishana Gate, Thanasar, Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had no role to play in the entire controversy and the allegations levelled against her are highly improbable. Learned counsel further contends that in February, 2018, a Panchayat was convened in the Police Station and even an agreement was executed between the complainant and the main accused Mukesh Chauhan and Mukesh Chauhan had agreed to pay the entire amount and had issued cheques to the complainant side. However, at a later stage, there was a dispute between the Mukesh Chauhan and the complainant and the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. Learned counsel further contends that the

petitioner was a student at the time of the alleged incident and deserves sympathetic consideration by this Court. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 17.06.2023 and is in custody for the last more than two months. He further contends that a false recovery of Rs.1,000/- has been planted on her and challan has already been presented before the trial Court on 28.07.2023. He further contends that all the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate and the conclusion of the trial may take a long time.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious and specific allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

01.09.2023

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No