

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8092-2018

Date of decision : 16.02.2023

Meena

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab for respondents no.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order of direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order/notice dated 04.01.2018 (Annexure P-6) whereby the petitioner has been called to participate in the enquiry arising in pursuance to complaint no.6/30/2014-Gurdaspur dated 10.06.2014.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, the present petition is premature inasmuch as only a show cause notice has been issued against the present petitioner and the final order in the same is yet to be passed. It is further submitted that at the time of notice of motion, learned counsel for the petitioner had contended that on the basis of same complaint, which was earlier found to be false, the present notice has been issued. It is further submitted that the said assertion is a false assertion inasmuch as the earlier complaint is dated 10.06.2014 (Annexure P-1) whereas the persual of the notice dated 04.01.2018 (Annexure P-6), which is the impugned show cause notice, reference has been made to letter dated 14.12.2017 which is subsequent to the initial complaint dated 10.06.2014 (Anneuxre P-1).

No one has appeared on behalf of the petitoiner inspite of the fact that the case has been called thrice.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

February 16, 2023

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No