

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-42939-2023

Date of Decision:21.11.2023

Manish Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Another

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate with
Mr. Ravisha Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randeep Singh Khaira, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 11.09.2023 following order was passed:

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.63 dated 25.02.2023 registered under Sections 447, 379, 506, 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar.

It is contended by learned counsel that in the connected petition bearing No.CRM-M-26785-2023 filed by co-accused, matter was referred to Mediation Centre for making efforts for amicable settlement. Learned counsel contends that though the matter is not settled in the Mediation Centre but outside the Court, parties have arrived at a settlement.

Notice of motion.

Mr. M.S. Nagra, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1- State whereas Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2- complainant.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2- complainant concedes the fact that matter has been settled between the parties.

In view of the aforesaid circumstances, let status report be

filed.

Adjourned to 21.11.2023.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Today learned State counsel, submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 11.09.2023 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

November 21, 2023

Neetika Tuteja

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No