

CRM-M-44911-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(282)

CRM-M-44911-2022

Date of Decision:-**January 30, 2023**

Amritpal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Charanpal Singh Bahri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate for

Mr. Mandeep Nagpal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 94 dated 20.07.2021, registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 03.05.2022 (Annexure P-2).

In compliance of order dated 27.09.2022, status report by way of an affidavit of Raminder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Khamano District Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab has been filed by learned State Counsel on behalf of respondent No.1-State, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Copy thereof is also supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner in the Court today itself.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, this Court vide order dated 27.09.2022 directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded in that regard. Pursuant thereto, a report dated 11.01.2023 has been received from the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Khamanon,

CRM-M-44911-2022

stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

Perusal of the aforesaid report establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal prosecution in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another* 2012(10) SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another* 2014(6) SCC 406 that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. FIR No. 94 dated 20.07.2021, registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners, subject to payment of cost of ₹ 20,000/- to be deposited by the petitioners (i.e. ₹ 10,000/- each) and ₹ 20,000/- to be deposited by respondent No.2 within two months from today in the following account:-

Account Name – Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association Lawyer's Family Welfare Fund.

Account No. - 41564846387

Bank Name – SBI High Court Branch.

**(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE**

January 30, 2023

Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No