

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRM-M-42480-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 04.09.2023

Mohinder Pal @ Mohinder Paul

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate and
Mr. Harmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Khanna, Advocate
for the complainant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. State counsel, on instructions from SI Satish Kumar, submits that though the complaint has been received against the petitioner, but no case has been registered till date and thus, the apprehension raised by the petitioner at this is misfounded.
2. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner that in case the cause of action arises, he shall be at liberty to approach, in accordance with law.
3. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

04.09.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No