

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-19885-2023

Date of decision: 19.10.2023

ANEETA

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner.

Vide order dated 11.09.2023, learned counsel for the petitioner had submitted that the claim of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgments passed by this Court in *CWP-24394-2011* dated 09.05.2012 (Annexure P-3) and *CWP-2828-2016* and other connected case which were allowed on 27.07.2018 (Annexure P-9). Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 had sought time to have instructions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition be disposed of in terms of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.3628 of 2018, whereby Bunch of writ petition have been decided on 01.05.2023 (Annexure P-12) wherein respondents were directed to reconsider the case of the similarly situated employees and the respondents

were granted time till 31.12.2023. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner would be satisfied if the prayer made in the writ petition be disposed of in terms of aforesaid judgment dated 01.05.2023 (Annexure P-12).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 and Mr. Divyjit Sandhu, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and they do not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of in terms of aforesaid judgment dated 01.05.2023 (Annexure P-12).

October 19, 2023

nisha-II

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No