

255

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7685-2018

Date of decision : 14.02.2023

Vikram Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ojas Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the Intervenor.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 13.03.2018 (Annexure P-9) passed by respondent No.2, whereby the petitioner was removed from the post of Sarpanch.

Learned State Counsel has pointed out that in the present case, the petitioner was appointed as Sarpanch in the year 2016 and his tenure as a Sarpanch has elapsed and thus, the present Civil Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is not

in touch with his clients and thus, submitted that present Civil Writ Petition may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous but liberty be granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present case, in case, any cause survives to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

14.02.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No