

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(241)

CWP-6-2021

Date of Decision : July 31, 2023

Gurbhag Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate and
Ms. Sunaina, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 27.11.2020 (Annexure P-9) passed by the Deputy Director (Administration) by which, the service of the petitioner has been terminated.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the order impugned is an appealable order, hence, the petitioner should approach the higher authorities of the Department.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal before respondent No.1 and the respondents be directed to decide the said appeal in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any appeal is filed by the petitioner against the impugned order within a period of four weeks from today, the same will be decided on merits by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date any such appeal is received by respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

As there exist an interim order that in the place of petitioner, nobody will be appointed, during the pendency of the said appeal, the said interim order will continue.

July 31, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No